PTO/SB/21 (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application Number	10/619,224		
			Filing Date	July 12, 2003		
			First Named Inventor	Donald M. Besemer et al.		
			Art Unit	1744		
			Examiner Name	David A. Redding		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		3	Attorney Docket Number	1067.1E		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Group		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		Return Receipt Postcard; and Interview Summary (2 pp.)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Rema	rks			
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm or Individual name	Philip L. McGarrigle, Reg. No. 31,395					
Signature Mily M/74						
Date	Date April 28, 2004					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.						
Typed or printed name Katherine Stofer						
Signature Date April 28, 2004						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.3. The information is figured to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:	DONALD M. BESEMER et al.)	Examiner: David A. Redding
Serial No:	10/619,224)	Group Art Unit: 1744
Filing Date:	July 12, 2003)	
	RRAY CHIP REACTION RATUS AND ITS MANUFACTURE)	

INTERVIEW SUMMARY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.133

Commissioner for Patents P.O. 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Interview Summary is hereby submitted in connection with the personal interview held on April 1, 2004, in regard to the above-referenced application. In attendance were applicant's representative Philip L. McGarrigle and Examiner David E. Redding.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for conducting the above interview to discuss the present application. During the interview, Applicant's representative, Mr. McGarrigle, discussed recently filed requests for declaration of interference and a preliminary amendment. Mr.. McGarrigle pointed out that Applicants had copied claims from three patents; U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,420,114 and 6,613,529 to Bedillion, and 6,513,968 to Schembri.

Mr. McGarrigle explained that the preliminary amendment adds the claims from each patent and shows which claims correspond to which patent. Further, Mr. McGarrigle explained that the Requests for Declaration of Interference as filed demonstrate that Applicants have complied with 37 CFR 1.617 because they identified the patents, proposed a count, identified a patent claim and application claim that corresponded to the count, applied the terms of the

Atty. Docket No.1067.1E

application claim, and explained why 35 USC § 135 was met. Applicants also identified a chart

in the requests which matched the claims from the patents to the claims from the present

application and where the support was found in the present application.

Applicants also explained that they should be designated as Senior party because the

present claims derive priority from an application that goes back to June 8th of 1994, which is

over 4 years earlier than 6,513,968 to Schembri and 5 1/2 years earlier than 6,420,114 and

6,613,529 to Bedillion.

Applicants also explained that they had submitted form 850 for the Examiners

convenience.

The patentability of the claims was not specifically discussed.

It is believed that no fee is required for submission of this response. However, if a fee is

required, the Commissioner is authorized to deduct such fee from Deposit Account No. 01-0431.

Dated: 4-28-04

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 31,395

Customer No. 22886

Affymetrix, Inc.

3380 Central Expressway

Santa Clara, CA 95051

Tel: 408-731-5000

Fax: 408-731-5392

2